



On the six-dimensional orthogonal tensor representation of the rotation in three dimensions: A simplified approach

Cheng Guan Koay

Section on Tissue Biophysics and Biomimetics, Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health, Bldg 13, Rm 3W16, 13 South Drive, MSC 5772, Bethesda, MD 20892-5772, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 1 September 2008

Received in revised form 12 December 2008

ABSTRACT

The six-dimensional orthogonal tensor representation of the rotation about an axis in three dimensions was first proposed by Mehrabadi et al. [Mehrabadi, M.M., Cowin, S.C., Jaric, J., 1995. Six-dimensional orthogonal tensor representation of the rotation about an axis in three dimensions. *International Journal of Solids and Structures* 32 (3–4), 439–449]. In this brief note, a simple and coherent approach is presented to construct the six-dimensional orthogonal tensor representation of the rotation of any parametrization in three dimensions and to prove its orthogonality.

Published by Elsevier Ltd.

1. Introduction

The essence of the Euler's theorem regarding the representation of a 3×3 rotation (orthogonal) matrix, \mathbf{Q} , generated from a rotation about an axis along a unit vector, $\mathbf{p} \equiv [p_1, p_2, p_3]^T$ (the superscript T denotes matrix or vector transposition), with an angle, θ , is encapsulated in the following expression, see Mehrabadi et al. (1995) and references therein:

$$\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{I} + \sin(\theta)\mathbf{P} + (1 - \cos(\theta))\mathbf{P}^2 = \exp(\theta\mathbf{P}), \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{P} is a skew-symmetric matrix made up of the components of the unit vector, \mathbf{p} ,

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -p_3 & p_2 \\ p_3 & 0 & -p_1 \\ -p_2 & p_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

In their extension of the Euler's theorem to the six-dimensional matrix case, Mehrabadi et al. (1995) relied upon the matrix exponential of a 6×6 skew-symmetric matrix to establish the orthogonality of the six-dimensional matrix analogue of the rotation matrix, \mathbf{Q} , which was denoted by $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}$ in Mehrabadi et al. (1995).

In this brief note, a simple construction of the six-dimensional matrix analogue of the rotation matrix \mathbf{Q} and the proof of its orthogonality are presented.

2. Methods

Let us define \mathbf{Q} to be a 3×3 orthogonal matrix, i.e., $\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}^T = \mathbf{Q}^T\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{I}$, given by

$$\mathbf{Q} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} Q_{11} & Q_{12} & Q_{13} \\ Q_{21} & Q_{22} & Q_{23} \\ Q_{31} & Q_{32} & Q_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

\mathbf{D} to be a 3×3 nonsingular symmetric matrix given by

$$\mathbf{D} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} D_{xx} & D_{xy} & D_{xz} \\ D_{xy} & D_{yy} & D_{yz} \\ D_{xz} & D_{yz} & D_{zz} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

and \mathbf{A} to be another 3×3 nonsingular symmetric matrix given by

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \lambda_4 & \lambda_6 \\ \lambda_4 & \lambda_2 & \lambda_5 \\ \lambda_6 & \lambda_5 & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

Then, the effect of rotating \mathbf{D} by \mathbf{Q} through a similarity transformation, which results in \mathbf{A} , can be given simply as:

E-mail address: guankoac@mail.nih.gov

$$\Lambda = \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{D} \mathbf{Q}. \tag{6}$$

We should note that the off-diagonal elements of Λ are generally nonzero except when each column vector of \mathbf{Q} is an eigenvector of \mathbf{D} .

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{11}^2 & Q_{21}^2 & Q_{31}^2 & \sqrt{2}Q_{11}Q_{21} & \sqrt{2}Q_{21}Q_{31} & \sqrt{2}Q_{11}Q_{31} \\ Q_{12}^2 & Q_{22}^2 & Q_{32}^2 & \sqrt{2}Q_{12}Q_{22} & \sqrt{2}Q_{22}Q_{32} & \sqrt{2}Q_{12}Q_{32} \\ Q_{13}^2 & Q_{23}^2 & Q_{33}^2 & \sqrt{2}Q_{13}Q_{23} & \sqrt{2}Q_{23}Q_{33} & \sqrt{2}Q_{13}Q_{33} \\ \sqrt{2}Q_{11}Q_{12} & \sqrt{2}Q_{21}Q_{22} & \sqrt{2}Q_{31}Q_{32} & Q_{11}Q_{22} + Q_{21}Q_{12} & Q_{21}Q_{32} + Q_{31}Q_{22} & Q_{11}Q_{32} + Q_{31}Q_{12} \\ \sqrt{2}Q_{12}Q_{13} & \sqrt{2}Q_{22}Q_{23} & \sqrt{2}Q_{32}Q_{33} & Q_{12}Q_{23} + Q_{22}Q_{13} & Q_{22}Q_{33} + Q_{32}Q_{23} & Q_{12}Q_{33} + Q_{32}Q_{13} \\ \sqrt{2}Q_{11}Q_{13} & \sqrt{2}Q_{21}Q_{23} & \sqrt{2}Q_{31}Q_{33} & Q_{11}Q_{23} + Q_{21}Q_{13} & Q_{21}Q_{33} + Q_{31}Q_{23} & Q_{11}Q_{33} + Q_{31}Q_{13} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{11}$$

Eq. (6) can be vectorized using the following convention:

$$\tilde{\lambda} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \lambda_3 \\ \lambda_4 \\ \lambda_5 \\ \lambda_6 \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{\mathbf{H}} \tilde{\mathbf{d}} = \tilde{\mathbf{H}} \begin{pmatrix} D_{xx} \\ D_{yy} \\ D_{zz} \\ D_{xy} \\ D_{yz} \\ D_{xz} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7}$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}$ is a six-dimensional matrix made up of the elements of \mathbf{Q} . This convention, however, does not preserve the Euclidean vector norm and the Frobenius matrix norm.

$$\mathbf{R} \equiv \mathbf{H}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{11}^2 & Q_{12}^2 & Q_{13}^2 & \sqrt{2}Q_{11}Q_{12} & \sqrt{2}Q_{12}Q_{13} & \sqrt{2}Q_{11}Q_{13} \\ Q_{21}^2 & Q_{22}^2 & Q_{23}^2 & \sqrt{2}Q_{21}Q_{22} & \sqrt{2}Q_{22}Q_{23} & \sqrt{2}Q_{21}Q_{23} \\ Q_{31}^2 & Q_{32}^2 & Q_{33}^2 & \sqrt{2}Q_{31}Q_{32} & \sqrt{2}Q_{32}Q_{33} & \sqrt{2}Q_{31}Q_{33} \\ \sqrt{2}Q_{11}Q_{21} & \sqrt{2}Q_{12}Q_{22} & \sqrt{2}Q_{13}Q_{22} & Q_{11}Q_{22} + Q_{12}Q_{21} & Q_{12}Q_{23} + Q_{13}Q_{22} & Q_{11}Q_{23} + Q_{13}Q_{21} \\ \sqrt{2}Q_{21}Q_{31} & \sqrt{2}Q_{22}Q_{32} & \sqrt{2}Q_{23}Q_{33} & Q_{21}Q_{32} + Q_{22}Q_{31} & Q_{22}Q_{33} + Q_{23}Q_{32} & Q_{21}Q_{33} + Q_{23}Q_{31} \\ \sqrt{2}Q_{11}Q_{31} & \sqrt{2}Q_{12}Q_{32} & \sqrt{2}Q_{13}Q_{33} & Q_{11}Q_{32} + Q_{12}Q_{31} & Q_{12}Q_{33} + Q_{13}Q_{32} & Q_{11}Q_{33} + Q_{13}Q_{31} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{14}$$

In other words, the Euclidean vector norm and the Frobenius matrix norm do not coincide under this convention, i.e.,

$$\tilde{\lambda}^T \cdot \tilde{\lambda} \neq \text{Tr}(\Lambda^T \Lambda), \tag{8}$$

where $\text{Tr}(\cdot)$ denotes the matrix trace operation.

Fortunately, the Euclidean vector norm and the Frobenius matrix norm can be easily made to coincide under a slightly different but important convention of vectorization due to Mehrabadi and Cowin (1990) and Rychlewski (1984) (hereafter Mehrabadi–Cowin–Rychlewski convention or MCR convention for short) i.e.:

$$\lambda \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \lambda_3 \\ \sqrt{2}\lambda_4 \\ \sqrt{2}\lambda_5 \\ \sqrt{2}\lambda_6 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{d} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{xx} \\ D_{yy} \\ D_{zz} \\ \sqrt{2}D_{xy} \\ \sqrt{2}D_{yz} \\ \sqrt{2}D_{xz} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{9}$$

Under the MCR convention, Eq. (7) can be rearranged as:

$$\lambda = \mathbf{H} \mathbf{d}, \tag{10}$$

where the six-dimensional matrix, \mathbf{H} , can be expressed as:

To show that \mathbf{H} is orthogonal, i.e., $\mathbf{H}^{-1} = \mathbf{H}^T$, the key step is to realize that \mathbf{H}^{-1} can be easily constructed by rearranging Eq. (6), namely:

$$\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{Q} \Lambda \mathbf{Q}^T. \tag{12}$$

Vectorizing Eq. (12) using the MCR convention again, we have

$$\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{R} \lambda, \tag{13}$$

where the six-dimensional matrix, \mathbf{R} , which is the inverse of \mathbf{H} , is given by:

Based on Eqs. (11) and (14), it is clear that $\mathbf{H}^{-1} = \mathbf{H}^T$.

For most applications, Eq. (11) can be constructed simply and directly from the components of \mathbf{Q} , regardless of the parametrization of \mathbf{Q} ; that is, \mathbf{Q} may be represented as in Eq. (1) or may be expressed in terms of the Euler angles.

3. Discussion

The goal of this work is to convey the simplicity of the idea, of the construction and of the proof of the six-dimensional orthogonal tensor representation of the rotation in three dimensions to interested readers. In brief, the orthogonality of the six-dimensional orthogonal tensor representation of the rotation is a direct consequence of the invariance of a rotation-based similarity-transformed tensor in two different norms—the Euclidean norm and the Frobenius norm. It should be clear that the construction can be easily extended to orthogonal matrices in higher dimensions using a higher dimensional generalization of the MCR convention.

In practice, it may be more convenient to construct the six-dimensional orthogonal tensor representation of the

rotation in three dimensions directly from the elements of the three-dimensional rotation matrix regardless of the parametrization used to represent the rotation matrix. In other cases, the matrix exponential formalism as presented in Mehrabadi et al. (1995) may be of value, e.g., Balendran and Nemat-Nasser (1995).

The relevance of the MCR convention and of the six-dimensional orthogonal tensor representation of the rotation in three dimensions can be gleaned from recent publications ranging from elasticity to imaging (Basser and Pajevic, 2007; Moakher, 2006; Moakher and Norris, 2006). Specifically, we note that the analysis of optimal experimental designs and the propagation of errors (Koay et al., 2007, 2008) through the nonlinear least squares objective function (Koay et al., 2006) in diffusion tensor imaging (Basser et al., 1994) will be greatly facilitated under the MCR convention.

We should note that the similarity transformation through an orthogonal matrix used in the construction of the six-dimensional orthogonal tensor representation is a well known technique for transforming tensors or for diagonalizing tensors. This technique is very useful in many areas of research, e.g., Golub and Van Loan (1996) and Koay et al. (2006). Finally, we hope this brief note prepares interested readers to appreciate the simplicity of the idea and the construction behind the six-dimensional orthogonal tensor representation of the rotation in three dimensions as well as the elegance of the matrix exponential formalism of Mehrabadi et al. (1995).

Acknowledgments

The author thanks Drs. Peter J. Basser and Maher Moakher for bringing the work of Prof. Mehrabadi and coworkers to his attention, and to Dr. Maher Moakher for helpful

discussion on the significance of the MCR convention in elasticity. This work was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development.

References

- Balendran, B., Nemat-Nasser, S., 1995. Integration of inelastic constitutive equations for constant velocity gradient with large rotation. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 67 (1–3), 161–195.
- Basser, P.J., Mattiello, J., Le Bihan, D., 1994. MR diffusion tensor spectroscopy and imaging. *Biophys. J.* 66 (1), 259–267.
- Basser, P.J., Pajevic, S., 2007. Spectral decomposition of a 4th-order covariance tensor: applications to diffusion tensor MRI. *Signal Processing* 87 (2), 220–236.
- Golub, G.H., Van Loan, C.F., 1996. *Matrix Computation*. The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore.
- Koay, C.G., Chang, L.-C., Carew, J.D., Pierpaoli, C., Basser, P.J., 2006. A unifying theoretical and algorithmic framework for least squares methods of estimation in diffusion tensor imaging. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance* 182 (1), 115–125.
- Koay, C.G., Chang, L.C., Pierpaoli, C., Basser, P.J., 2007. Error propagation framework for diffusion tensor imaging via diffusion tensor representations. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging* 26 (8), 1017–1034.
- Koay, C.G., Nevo, U., Chang, L.-C., Pierpaoli, C., Basser, P.J., 2008. The elliptical cone of uncertainty and its normalized measures in diffusion tensor imaging. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging* 27 (6), 834–846.
- Mehrabadi, M.M., Cowin, S.C., 1990. Eigentensors of linear anisotropic elastic materials. *Quarterly Journal of Mechanics and Applied Mathematics* 43, 15–41.
- Mehrabadi, M.M., Cowin, S.C., Jaric, J., 1995. Six-dimensional orthogonal tensor representation of the rotation about an axis in three dimensions. *International Journal of Solids and Structures* 32 (3–4), 439–449.
- Moakher, M., 2006. On the averaging of symmetric positive-definite tensors. *Journal of Elasticity* 82 (3), 273–296.
- Moakher, M., Norris, A., 2006. The closest elastic tensor of arbitrary symmetry to an elasticity tensor of lower symmetry. *Journal of Elasticity* 85 (3), 215–263.
- Rychlewski, J., 1984. On Hooke's law. *Prikladnaya matematika i mekhanika* 48, 303–314 (in Russian).